

**To:** "Allison Castellan" [allison.castellan@noaa.gov]; N=Don Waye/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jayne Carlin/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Jayne Carlin/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** CN=Alan Henning/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=David Croxton/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Helen Rueda/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jennifer Wu/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=David Croxton/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Helen Rueda/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jennifer Wu/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Helen Rueda/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jennifer Wu/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Jennifer Wu/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**From:** CN=David Powers/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Thur 11/8/2012 9:40:45 PM  
**Subject:** Re: status update for "on-site" efforts  
<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

I just rec'd a call from Greg Aldrich, the OR DEQ Water Programs Director, regarding a new glitch in the on-site disposal rules. This is one of the outstanding CNPCP issues where DEQ was on or close to on track to meet established deadlines.

Greg said that DEQ is pulling the on-site rule from the Dec. 2012 EQC Agenda, and will be pushing it to the next EQC meeting for approval in March 2013. The OR Legislator who had commented on the proposed rule wants additional discussion with the other OR Legislators on rule provisions. DEQ is concerned that moving forward without allowing that discussion process to occur could have significant ramifications to funding and legislative support for a broad range of DEQ programs.

Greg said that if EPA or NOAA wanted to discuss this delay he was available to talk. I told Greg that Don, Jayne and Allison had been tracking the on-site issues closer than I have and that I would share the discussion we had with them. I also added that EPA and NOAA would like to see the language of the rule before it is finalized.

I did make the point to Greg that it was unfortunate that the on-site rule, which seemed to be close to on schedule, would now be delayed. But I added that I felt the risks and concerns were greater, from my perspective, on the State's lack of progress on addressing the outstanding forestry measures and developing the management measure components of the IR TMDL.

Greg said he understood, but that the WQS litigation was really complicating moving forward on the temperature TMDL. I told Greg that I agreed that the litigation was a complicating factor but that I did not think it was the primary reason for the lack of progress. I added that development of additional management measures needed to meet WQS and TMDL targets was central to addressing outstanding CNPCP forestry issues and the approach that DEQ proposed to EPA and NOAA.

---

David Powers  
 Regional Manager for Forests and Rangelands  
 USEPA Region 10, OOO  
 805 SW Broadway, Suite 500  
 Portland, OR 97205  
 503-326-5874  
[powers.david@epa.gov](mailto:powers.david@epa.gov)

-----Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, allison.castellan@noaa.gov, Don Waye/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Powers/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
 From: Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US

Date: 11/08/2012 10:03AM

Cc: David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelly Gable/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: Your Input & Review by COB Tuesday: Initial Draft NOAA/EPA CZARA Assessment

Hi All,

Attached is the initial draft assessment desperately needing your input and review.

(See attached file: EPA NOAA Assessment Dec 2012 Draft 11-8-12.docx)

Please provide your comments by COB on Tuesday and I will send out a revised draft for your review. I anticipate several rounds of comments before we can begin managerial and attorney review.

Jayne

---

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit  
US EPA, Region 10  
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)  
Seattle, WA 98101-3140  
(206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax)  
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

[attachment "EPA NOAA Assessment Dec 2012 Draft 11-8-12.docx" removed by David Powers/R10/USEPA/US]